to an decusard in class,

Joe Bustillos ED 502 Teaching Reading 07-15-93

Journal Articles: Conversations With Self and Settings: A Framework for Thinking About Teacher Empowerment, by Richard S. Prawat.

And the quest for the epistemological holy grail continues. In this installment, Professor Prawat notes that the literature on "teacher empowerment" discusses it as either "conversations with self" or "conversations with settings." The first, "conversations with self," is the category that is more epistemological in nature than the second (which Prawat has described as being more political or social).

Actually describing one "conversation" as being epistemological versus the other option is not quite right. Prawat includes the following table to explain his understanding:

Key Dimensions in the Different Approaches to Teacher Empowerment

Agenda

| Context | Epistemological | Political |
|-----------------------------|--|---|
| Conversations with self | What knowledge and value claims should I accept as valid? | What do I personally have to offer to the on going conversation in education |
| Conversations with settings | What should I focus on in the teaching/learning environment? | What resources and support do I need, as a professional, to be successful in my work? |

Prawat develops the history and nature of this "self-talk" which has roots back to the 1870's. One disturbing aspect of his analysis is how this "conversation" seems to communicate a double-mindedness of the educator. It would seem that teachers don't know when to heed the ongoing research and when to cling to pedological techniques that were developed by Socrates. I know that I exaggerate, but that deciphering the many "scholarly voices" appears to be a problem is most problematic to me.

The important point is that teachers should be encouraged to develop certain epistemological "tools" (i.e., inquiry-oriented skills and dispositions) that help them distinguish between reasonable and unreasonable claims in education. In the broadest sense, the purpose is to develop "a language of critique and demystification." p742

Amen!

The was a bug question Now, I don't want to go into my irritation with the use of language to describe the "oppressed people" (described as "women, minorities and low-status white males"). What's this? mythical Mexican culture of the past I was always taught that those who would become teachers were considered leaders in their communities and that teaching was a most noble profession. It would now seem to be some scholarly backwater practiced by folks lacking the internal-fortitude to use their god-given brains (again, a "small" exaggeration on my part). Ugh. Gee, and if we really get our stuff together we might develop politically substantial support organizations like the lawyers and doctors (". . . They lack the structures and processes present in other professions, like law and medicine, that control entry into the

it was that "weed out" part that got me). But I digress.

I know that I am presenting a rather emotional reaction to an article in which Prof. Prawat makes a well-balanced exposition for the need to upgrade our perception and practice of the

profession and weed out those deemed unqualified to practice" ---

profession of educator.

the keenest sense of professionalism is self-monitoring directed at the point of teaching.

Conversations With Self and Settings: A Framework for Thinking About Teacher Empowerment

Richard S. Prawat Michigan State University A framework for thinking about teacher empowerment is presented. Two important dimensions are used as a basis for highlighting some important similarities and differences emerging in the literature on empowerment. The first relates to the context in which the process occurs; some educators highlight the importance of the personal context (i.e., conversations with self), while others assume more of an outward perspective in their thinking about empowerment (i.e., conversations with selfings). The second important dimension relates to the focus or agenda of the conversations. Here, a distinction is made between agendas that are more epistemological in nature and those that are more political. An illustration is provided of bow this framework can be used to better understand the dynamics involved in collaborative work with teachers.

CII Aldo

RICHARD S. PRAWAT is a professor of educational psychology and teacher education and the chairperson of the Department of Counseling, Educational Psychology, and Special Education at Michigan State University, 449 Erickson Hall, East Lansing, MI 48824. He specializes in learning and motivation and teaching for understanding.

se of the term *teacher empowerment* is no longer simply fashionable in educational discourse, it is almost mandatory. Despite the popularity of this term—or, alternatively, because of it—it is used in multiple and often conflicting ways, especially when applied to teachers. The purpose of this article is to sort out some of the diverse meanings associated with this expression.1 In so doing, I will highlight important similarities and differences emerging in the literature as educators write about empowerment. The argument is not that these distinctions represent independent dimensions; the lines of demarcation are too fuzzy for that. Rather than assume categorical distinctions, it may be more apt to think in terms of a perceptual metaphor: the widely used "figure-ground" relationship in psychology. Thus, even though the various aspects of empowerment are never entirely out of the picture, different issues (e.g., 'voice,' knowledge or skill, the workplace) are brought to the fore by different educational theorists. This shifting into and out of focus reflects the fact that theorists make different assumptions about the context of empowerment and about what sorts of issues or agendas ought to be paramount in teachers' minds during the empowerment process. It is impossible to make sense of the empowerment literature without coming to terms with some of these differences.

The particular issues I have chosen to highlight are summarized in Table 1. Two distinctions seem especially important in this regard. The first

Table 1
Key Dimensions in the Different Approaches
to Teacher Empowerment

| Context | Agenda | | |
|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | Epistemological | Political | |
| Conversations with self: | What knowledge and value claims should I accept as valid? | What do I personally have to offer to the ongoing conversation in education? | |
| Conversations with settings: | What should I focus on in the teaching/learning environment? | What resources and support do I need, as a professional, to be successful in my work? | |

¹The author wishes to acknowledge Tom Bird, who contributed to the ideas presented here in a series of discussions, and Wanda May, who provided a much needed critical reading of the first draft of this article.

This work is sponsored in part by the Center for the Learning and Teaching of Elementary Subjects, Institute for Research on Teaching, Michigan State University. The Center is funded primarily by the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education. The opinions expressed in this article do not necessarily reflect the position, policy, or endorsement of the Office or Department (Cooperative Agreement No. G0098C0226).

relates to the context in which empowerment occurs. Some educators stress the importance of the personal context. The key to empowerment, they argue, lies in the nature of the "conversations" that teachers have with themselves. By altering these conversations, teachers can gain greater control or influence over their own thinking in two senses. The first, which might be considered a form of logical self-control,2 supposedly enables teachers to overcome the inclination to uncritically accept (or reject) knowledge claims advanced by so-called experts in the field. The second relates more to the social or political agenda than to the epistemological. The goal here is to enhance the teachers' ability to deal with social and political oppression—to overcome the common tendency to hold back or to yield to those who are in positions of authority or power. The intent here is to deal with those factors that impede or interfere with the individual's personal development. The personal approach to empowerment is thus associated more with what Greene calls "negative" as opposed to "positive" freedoms (i.e., freedom from entanglements or encumbrances).3

Some educators assume more of an external or outward stance in their approach to empowerment. According to Greene, this second major approach is based on a more active concept of freedom—one that focuses less on freedom as a right and more on freedom as an achievement. The emphasis is thus on the "possibilities" inherent in a particular situation (e.g., the workplace or classroom). The goal is to stimulate teachers' imaginative thinking, the kind of thinking "that summons alternative realities for those sunken in what seems given." Too often, Greene believes, people accept the inevitability of their own "lived situations":

Submergence in those situations is such that there appears to be no possibility of things being otherwise than they are.... Or the barriers seem so insurmountable, so much a part of what is given, simply *there*, that surpassing or resisting appears to be unthinkable.⁶

The key to empowerment, then, according to this second perspective, is to change the nature of the conversations teachers have with their settings, to encourage them to be open to new and more effective ways of construing the classroom and workplace environment.

As was suggested above, educators disagree about more than context when it comes to empowerment; they also disagree about the nature of

²Richard Rorty, Selected Papers From the Synergos Seminars, vol. 2 of Hermeneutics, General Studies, and Teaching (Fairfax, Va.: George Mason University, 1982).

³Maxine Greene, "Reflection and Passion in Teaching," *Journal of Curriculum and Supervision* 2, no. 1 (1986): 68–81.

⁴Ibid., 74

⁵Ibid., 78.

⁶Ibid., 74.

the agendas being pursued. As I pointed out when discussing the personal context, some stress the role of epistemological content while others nighlight the social or political aspects of empowerment.7 McDonald oelieves that it is important to distinguish between these two agendas, parbut it may also refer to the content of what might be said, and implicitly political aspects of empowerment; nevertheless, educators do tend to icularly as they relate to the popular but complex notion of "teachers" o what those who are to be empowered to speak know."8 As with context, it is often difficult to distinguish between the epistemological and voice": "Voice may refer to the right and power to have a say in policy, highlight one or the other agenda in their writing.

powerment, like education more generally, can be viewed as a process of enculturation. This is not to say, however, that it involves only the internternalization. 10 Because the process of enculturation, and by implication the process of empowerment, is viewed as dialectical process, it is not, un-The framework presented in Table 1 will be used as a tool or heuristic for making sense out of the growing body of literature on teacher empowerment. First, however, I should explain the focus on "self" in this table. Emnalization of community norms and standards (i.e., beliefs, values, and the Lave and others argue that enculturation is a dialectical process. The community shapes the individual, to be sure, but the individual also shapes the community.9 As Cobb explains, enculturation is more like negotiation than reasonable to describe it as a series of conversations one has with self and ties play in providing the vocabulary and legitimating certain forms of ike). To assume this position is to assign too passive a role to the individual. settings. Such a perspective does not diminish the key role that communidiscourse.11

Conversations With Self: Epistemological and Political Agendas

As indicated, the expression "conversations with self" describes one stance toward teacher empowerment. Conversations with self are internally focused, aimed at deciding which types of knowledge claims or sociopolitical relationships one ought to regard as most valid or productive. Presumably, these choices are sorted out through the process of internal

dialogue or reflection. Those who seek to empower teachers to make wise or informed personal choices in either the epistemological or political domain are trying to influence the nature of this dialogue. As the previous comment suggests, there is a clear divergence of opinion in the literature about whether the major focus in this regard ought to be on providing teachers with certain epistemological "tools" (i.e., inquiry or critical reflection skills) or on providing them with sociopolitical insights derived from interpretive research (e.g., feminist research).

educators have used this concept in writing about empowerment. Diane preservice teachers. 12 Her aim in using this concept to analyze interviews Before elaborating on this difference, it might be helpful to provide some examples of what is meant by "conversations with self." Several Holt-Reynolds, for one, has found this notion helpful in her work with with novice teachers is to identify discourse strategies that would allow teacher educators to join in the internal dialogue of these teachers. She describes her agenda thus:

going, internal dialogues. Second, we need to develop patterns of participation in those dialogues. Only as participants can we hope to influence either the structure or content of preservice teachers' internal strategies for reflection.¹³ We need to find elegant, respectful ways of overhearing their on-

The Epistemological Agenda

tional thought: When one reasons, he said, it is for the purpose of persuading Characterizing the reflective thought process as a type of conversation with self is not new—it is an idea that has been around a while in philosophy and education. Most often it has been used in connection with the pursuit writing in 1870, used the concept of internal dialogue to account for rathe critical self. 14 Dewey elaborated on this notion, defining critical reflecof an epistemological agenda, as opposed to a political agenda. Thus, Peirce, tion as a type of process that entails "active, persistent and careful consideration of any belief or supposed form of knowledge in light of the grounds that support it and the further consequences to which it leads."15 More recently, Moshman defined rationality as "the self-reflective" coor-

Joseph P. McDonald, "The Emergence of the Teacher's Voice," Teachers College Record 4 (1988): 471–486.

⁸Ibid., 472.

Jean Lave, Cognition in Practice: Mind, Mathematics, and Culture in Everyday Life (Cambridge, Mass.: Cambridge University Press, 1988).

¹⁰Paul Cobb, "Experiential, Cognitive, and Anthropological Perspectives in Mathematics Education," For the Learning of Mathematics 9, no. 2 (1989): 32-42.

[&]quot;Richard Rorty, Consequences of Pragmatism (Brighton, Sussex: Harvester Press, 1982).

¹²Diane Holt-Reynolds, "Entering Preservice Teachers' Internal Dialogues: The Power of Reflection to Shape Practical Argument" (Paper presented at the Conference on Curriculum Theorizing and Classroom Practice, Dayton, Ohio, October 1990).

¹³Ibid., 31.

¹⁴Cited in Hugh D. Duncan, Symbols and Social Theory (New York: Oxford University Press, 1969)

¹⁵Quoted in Kenneth M. Zeichner, "Reflective Teaching and Field-Based Experience in Teacher Education," *Interchange* 12, no. 4 (1981–82): 1–22.

dination and use of reasons to justify beliefs. 16 The image one draws from these remarks is that of one carrying on an internal dialogue; the self that is open to new beliefs seeks to convince the skeptical or doubting self of the validity of certain claims.

ways of thinking in favor of newer, more useful perspectives. Explicit in Rorty's set of moral virtues is the notion that conversations with self about the validity of knowledge claims are accompanied—and perhaps set in motion-by conversations with others. Discourse or dialogue within the scholarly community is viewed as the most important mechanism for testing knowledge claims. Knowledge is a social product. Rorty summarizes his tific method' outside of moral virtues."22 Under the "moral virtue" rubric perimental disconfirmation, to listen to alternative theories, to jettison old to develop "a language of critique and demystification," 19 an arsenal of scholarly community. As Rorty argues, all criteria are no more than "temporary resting places, constructed by a community to facilitate its inquiries."21 Perhaps for this reason, Rorty assigns a higher priority to dispositions than skills in fostering critical reflection: "There is no such thing as 'the scienhe includes a number of important dispositions: a willingness to accept exunreasonable claims in education.18 In the broadest sense, the purpose is analytic skills that allow one to critically examine a broad range of educational claims.²⁰ There is nothing timeless about the criteria adopted by a claims. The important point is that teachers should be encouraged to develop certain epistemological "tools" (i.e., inquiry-oriented skills and dispositions) that help them distinguish between reasonable and teacher is one who is free from the "unwarranted control of unjustified beliefs."17 These beliefs fall under the rubric of either knowledge or moral According to one perspective on empowerment, then, the "liberated" position as follows:

Since truth is a property of sentences [i.e., the assertion meets certain agreed upon criterial, since sentences are dependent for their

¹⁶David Moshman, Educational Psychology Review, (1990): 335–364.

existence upon vocabularies, and since vocabularies are made by human beings, so are truths.23 Whether the focus is on fostering analytic skills or dispositions, there appears to be general agreement that epistemological empowerment is essential if teachers are to become full participants in the scholarly discourse community, helping to shape the knowledge generated by that community instead of being mere consumers of its finished products.

The Political Agenda

ical in nature. Several theorists stress the fact that this internal dialogue often is more political in nature. In this second type of conversation, two goals are pursued in tandem: confirmation of self and dealing with unequal power Conversations with self need not only deal with issues that are epistemolog-

is l<mark>ess attributable to their own inadequacy than it is to how the world treats,</mark> people (i.e., minorities and low-status white males) experience in our culture them. This mistreatment surfaces in subtle but pervasive ways. One of the most insidious strikes at the core of the individual's being because it relates to language or discourse. It is through language that we come to define in the development of a sense of self. Clark and Holquist cite Bahktin, a proach being discussed, is that the difficulty women and other oppressed ourselves. Language allows us to translate our private experience into public utterance, and this sort of "authoring" of one's own story is a key aspect Russian philosopher, who equates the activity of authorship to the "building A key assumption in feminist theory, which underlies the political apof a self."25

male-dominated culture. The problem has been succinctly stated by Lewis experience because the forms of discourse they favor are devalued in the and Simon: "Women's experience and discursive forms are defined by men as illegitimate within the terms of men's experience and men's discursive forms."26 Feminists take issue with the privileged position assigned to with its emphasis on objective, disembodied argument, has been used to Women and other oppressed people have trouble giving voice to their masculine forms of speech. Too often, they believe, this mode of discourse,

¹Harvey Siegel, Educating Reason: Rationality, Critical Thinking and Education (New

¹⁸David P. Liston and Kenneth M. Zeichner, "Reflective Teaching and Action Research in Preservice Teacher Education," *Journal of Education for Teaching* 16 (1996): 235–254. York: Routledge, 1990), 16.

¹⁹Henry A. Giroux and Peter McLaren, "Teacher Education and the Politics of Engagement: The Case For Democratic Schooling," *Harvard Educational Review* 56 (1986): 213–238.

²⁰ Alan Tom, "Inquiring Into Inquiry-Oriented Teacher Education," Journal of Teacher Education 36, no. 5 (1985): 35-44.

²¹Rorty, Consequences of Pragmatism, xlii.

²²Rorty, Selected Papers, 8.

²³Richard Rorty, Contingency, Irony, and Solidarity (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989), 21.

²⁴Nancy Schniedewind, "Feminist Values: Guidelines for Teaching Methodology in Women's Studies," in *Learning Our Way: Essays in Feminist Education*, eds. Charlotte Bunch and Sandra Pollack (Trumansburg, N.Y.: Crossing, 1985), 261–271.

²⁵Katerina Clark and Michael Holquist, Mikhail Bakhtin (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1984), 64.

²⁶Magda Lewis and Roger I. Simon, "A Discourse Not Intended for Her: Learning and Teaching Within Patriarchy," Harvard Educational Review 56 (1986): 464.

bludgeon women and minorities into silence. Not only does rational discourse devalue the sort of close-up, experiential ways of knowing favored by women, it is propelled by a dialectical process that is inherently confrontative.27 (Dialectic is derived from the Greek "dialegesthai," which means "to fight with words."28) The element of conflict associated with masculine modes of discourse cuts against the grain for many women.²⁹ For them, "connection" is the operative concept: "The only way for women to understand an idea is not to doubt it, to 'knock it down' or 'take it apart' as men tend to do, but to understand the experience of the person to whom the idea belongs and to share her experience."30

of which human beings give meaning to their experience of temporality The key to empowerment from the political perspective is to nurture alternative modes of discourse. This requires a two-fold approach. The first is consciousness raising, heightening awareness of how social processes and institutions perpetuate unequal power arrangements.31 If oppressed people are to break out of the silence imposed on them-both within and without-they must come to terms with their own exploitation.32 They must learn to "de-personalize" the process by putting their own experience in social and historical perspective. Autobiographical writing can contribute to this process. As Polkinghorne puts it, "Narrative is a scheme by means and personal actions."33

Authoring assumes an audience—sympathetic and engaged readers who goal in empowerment is thus closely connected to the first. This goal is to create the sort of supportive environment in which one can find and will attempt to understand the author's message. 34 The second important speak his or her own voice. Too often women and minorities hold back, feeling they have little to offer in the way of personal experience. As Nod²⁷Blyth M. Clinchy, Mary F. Belenky, Nancy Goldberger, and Jill M. Tarule, "Connected Education for Women," *Journal of Education* 167 (1985): 28–45.

tion with self are most likely to occur in the context of a "caring community," where people simultaneously care for and seek to bring out the dings explains, opportunities to overcome this defeatist sort of conversabest in one another.35 Because individuals are relational beings, selfenhancement and community building are one and the same.

possible—and, I would argue, imperative—to do so."36 The concept of a According to Noddings, the ethic that governs relations within a caring community—and that plays such an important role in enabling individuals to find their own voice—should be applied in the public as well as the private domain, particularly in education; this would require a major political reorientation: "We simply have not learned to use the language of relation comfortably outside the private domain and yet it is clearly caring community has merit in thinking about the ways teachers might relate empowerment seeks to influence the nature of teachers' conversations with self and with the settings or contexts we call classroom and school. This to one another and to their students. In this sense, the process of political second, important type of conversation is the focus in the next section of

Epistemological and Political Agendas Conversations With Settings:

discovery mode, the intent of the conversation is to make sense out of a The expression "conversations with settings" is borrowed from Schon; he argues that this sort of reflective "transaction" with the environment can occur in one of two different modes, or in a hybrid of the two.37 In the puzzling situation or phenomenon in order to arrive at some workable understanding. This requires a special sort of stance toward the phenomenon in question. Schon quotes McClintock to the effect that "one must have the time to look, the patience to 'hear what the material has to say to you,' the openness to 'let it come to you.' "38

During design, one both shapes the problematic situation and is shaped by it. Thus, the designer brings certain conceptual or affective biases to the situation. Schon highlights the role of "prestructures" and "appreciations" in this regard: Prestructures are the understandings that allow one to interpret a situation or phenomenon; appreciative judgments reflect one's lik-The second conversational mode, that of design, is more demanding.

²⁸Eugene G. d'Arguili and Hans Mol, The Regulation of Physical and Mental Systems: Systems Theory of the Philosophy of Science (Lewiston, N.Y.: Edwin Mellen Press, 1990).

²⁹ Marilyn Cochran-Smith, "Learning to Teach Against the Grain," Harvard Educational Review (in press).

³⁰Nancy J. Hoffman, "Feminist Scholarship and Women's Studies," Harvard Educational Review 56 (1986): 511-519.

³¹Cleo H. Cherryholmes, Power and Criticism: Poststructural Investigations in Education (New York: Teachers College Press, 1988).

³²Lewis and Simon, "A Discourse," 457-472.

³³Donald E. Polkinghorne, Narrative Knowing and the Human Sciences (New York: State University of New York Press, 1988), 11.

³⁴Mark B. Tappen and Lyn M. Brown, "Stories Told and Lessons Learned: Toward a Narrative Approach to Moral Development and Moral Education," *Harvard Educational Review* 59 (1989): 182–205.

³⁵Nel Noddings, "Fidelity in Teaching, Teacher Education, and Research for Teaching," Harvard Educational Review 56 (1986): 496–510.

³⁶Ibid., 496-510.

³⁷Donald A. Schon, "The Theory of Inquiry: Dewey's Legacy to Education" (Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Boston, April,

³⁸Ibid., 17.

mentation. The designer may also encounter "surprises" of various sorts that trigger a search for new ways of seeing things; thus, there is considerable "back talk" generated by the situation in this sort of conversation with within this design world, certain problems may arise that are relative to that world.40 These problems set in motion a process of search and experiings and dislikings for different aspects or elements of the situation.39 Together, these two factors help structure the individual's "design world," determining what features of the situation get attended to and in what order;

has been used recently by Liston and Zeichner. 42 Having been two of the foremost advocates of the inward, critical reflection approach to empowercontextual component to this process. Thus, they maintain, those engaged in ruminating over what constitutes valid beliefs in education must also at-Conversations with settings involve more of an outward focus than do conversations with self. This "outward" versus "inward" distinction ment discussed above, Liston and Zeichner now wish to add an outward, tend to the "context" in which this conversation with self is located:

Therefore it seems that another central task for the teacher educator is to encourage the examination of and conversations about how our descriptive views of children, schools, and the larger commuis appropriate for questions about the students, the curriculum, the questions about our descriptive understanding of both particular and general educational situations. When we reffect on our teaching, it institutional setting, and the larger social role of schools to surface. A reflective examination of our educational practices inevitably raises nity affect our educational practice.43

The Epistemological Agenda

courage teachers to bring to bear new "prestructures" and "appreciations" proach to empowerment is epistemological: to encourage teachers to look at their world through new conceptual lenses—to, in Schon's language, en-This outward or contextual purview has long been of interest to cognitive psychologists and those who focus on the teaching and learning of specific content (i.e., mathematics, science, literacy). These researchers seek to empower teachers by providing them with alternative theoretical frameworks for thinking about the teaching/learning context. The purpose of this apas they converse with settings—thus creating new opportunities for develop-

ment and change in their classroom teaching. An example might be helpful.

is the instrument for accomplishing this goal. The theory that underlies this research—termed "constructivist theory"—has been used in a number of studies aimed at changing teachers' views about teaching and learning. 45 In a program at the University of Wisconsin, researchers have succeeded in getting teachers to reexamine their traditional, hierarchical views of mathematics' learning (e.g., the notion that children must master number facts and computational skills before they can solve word problems.) 44 Recent research on children's invented strategies in addition and subtraction While there are alternative versions of this theory, constructivist researchers speak with one voice about the need to change the teachers' stance toward the learner, with the students' own efforts to understand occupying center stage.46)

native ways of construing—and thus conversing with—educational settings are pragmatic with regard to theories and ideas. Science does turn up better ways of construing reality, but, as Rorty points out, successive theories are not better because they correspond more closely to reality—they are better because they allow us to address new, more vexing problems.⁴⁷ cording to this view, theories, and the ideas derived from theories, direct Those who seek to empower teachers by providing them with alterand thus opening up new possibilities for intellectual development. 48 Acour attention to important aspects of the environment that otherwise would go unnoticed. As Gough puts it, they help "educate attention," a process that can produce dramatic results.⁴⁹ Greene writes, "I think of numerous essness through 'shocks of awareness,' through increasingly rich...' nam-Theories function as epistemological "tools," enlarging our field of vision figures in literature, yes, and in history who overcame their own power-

³⁹Ibid., 17.

⁴⁰Ibid., 24.

⁴¹ Ibid., 15.

⁴²Liston and Zeichner, "Reflective Teaching," 251.

⁴³ Ibid., 240-241.

⁴⁴Thomas P. Carpenter, Elizabeth Fennema, Penelope L. Peterson, Chi-Pang Chiang, and Megan Loef, "Using Knowledge of Children's Mathematical Thinking in Classroom Teaching. An Experimental Study," American Educational Research Journal 26 (1989): 499-532.

Role of Teachers' Knowledge About Science and Science Learning," (Paper presented at the ⁴⁵Magdalene Lampert, "Knowing, Doing, and Teaching Multiplication," Cognition and Instruction 3 (1986): 305–342; Kathy Roth, "Helping Science Teachers to Change: The Critical ject Matter Knowledge and Classroom Instruction, ed. Jere Brophy (Greenwich, Ct.: JAI annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Washington, D.C., April 1987); Deborah C. Smith and Daniel C. Neale, "The Construction of Subject Matter Knowledge in Primary Science Teaching," in Advances in Research on Teaching: Teachers' Sub-Press, in press).

⁴⁶Richard S. Prawat, "Teachers' Beliefs About Teaching and Learning: A Constructivist Perspective," American Journal of Education (in press).

⁴⁷Rorty, Selected Papers.

^{*}See Note 37.

⁴⁹Noel Gough, "From Epistemology to Ecopolitics: Renewing a Paradigm for Curriculum, *Journal of Curriculum Studies* 21 (1989): 225–241.

ing' of the world."50 The notion that theory heightens perceptual awareness is consistent with its etymological roots: The word "theory" is derived from the Greek "theamai," or "I behold," suggesting that it is intented to function as a visual stimulus.51

According to Schon, the structures that practitioners bring to the design task are "starting points" in a series of ongoing conversations with settings; they shape how practitioners interpret various tasks (e.g., curriculum development), but they are subject to revision as practitioners confront the unexpected.52 As Schon points out, "Designing opens up possibilities for surprise that may trigger new ways of secing things."53 The key, then, acteachers to regard all theory (i.e., their prestructures and appreciations) as cording to advocates of this approach to empowerment, is to encourage tentative—representing the best, perhaps, of what we currently know about teaching and learning, but subject to further testing and revision. According to this view, which is key to any approach aimed at epistemological empowerment, theory can point the way but it ought not to dictate practice. As Duffy points out in his recent paper on empowerment:

We must get beyond giving teachers the impression that the key to effective instruction is compliance with our favored theories and our favored techniques. We must instead convey the much more complex reality that what is useful usually depends on the situation. Consequently, we must help teachers understand what a theory or procedure is good for, when it might be useful, and how to make those decisions, 54

The Political Agenda

Thus far, the discussion has focused on the issue of epistemological empowerment. As in the case of conversations with self, however, there is a political analogue to this more knowledge-oriented approach. The specifics Nevertheless, there is widespread agreement about the immediate goal: to of this second approach to empowerment are a little hard to pin down. provide teachers with greater control and autonomy in the workplace and ⁵⁰Maxine Greene, "How Do We Think About Our Craft?" in Rethinking School Improvement: Research, Craft, and Concept, ed. Ann Lieberman (New York: Teachers College Press, 1986), 24.

⁵¹Robert Coles, *The Call of Stories: Teaching and the Moral Imagination* (Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1989); Richard S. Prawat, "The Value of Ideas: The Immersion Approach to the Development of Thinking," *Educational Researcher* 20 (1989).

⁵²Donald A. Schon, Educating the Reflective Practitioner (San Francisco: Jossey-Bass,

⁵⁴Gerry G. Duffy, "What Counts in Teacher Education? Dilemmas in Educating Empowered Teachers" (Presidential address, 40th annual meeting of the National Reading Conference, Miami, Fl., November, 1990).

Teacher Empowerment

1 cine, that control entry into the profession and weed out those deemed teachers typically have little voice in workplace issues, such as the choice of curriculum material, the types of tests used to evaluate instruction, the scheduling of classes, and the allocation of instructional resources. Nor do unqualified to practice. A lack of autonomy and control on the part of teachers is problematic because it affects their productivity and commitment to in the profession. At present, the system is deficient on both counts. Thus, teachers exert much control over their profession as a whole. They lack the structures and processes present in other professions, like law and medithe workplace.

The productivity argument is not unique to teaching. It is based on a series of assumptions that underlie current thinking in business and industry.55 The logic goes something like this: As tasks become more combehavior (i.e., open information sharing) is actively discouraged in many plex or uncertain, employees are expected to exercise more judgment and choice. However, the pattern of communication that facilitates this sort of organizations, which continue to utilize highly centralized, top-down constructure presents those in charge with a clear choice: (a) simplify or "deskill" the task, an option that may not be feasible in this age of high techtrol structures. The mismatch between task structure and organizational nology, or (b) restructure the workplace, allowing employees greater autonomy or room to make decisions.

The productivity argument has been applied to education. As in the case of business and industry, work demands in education are thought to be rapidly increasing in complexity. Teachers are now expected to go beyond the basics—to teach higher order thinking, problem solving, and the like. As Cohen explains, teachers who stress these goals are being asked to "work harder, concentrate more, and embrace larger pedagogical responsibilities than if they only assigned text chapters and seatwork."56 If teachers are to meet these demands, according to the empowerment argument, they must be given greater autonomy and decision-making power in schools and in the teaching profession.

The discourse on empowerment broadens when commitment to the place, as opposed to teacher productivity, believe that the problems in education extend well beyond teachers' needing to deal with a more complex set of work demands. Teacher disaffection, absenteeism, stress, and high turnover, particularly among the more academically talented members workplace is the rationale. Those who focus on commitment to the work-

⁵⁵Brian Rowan, "Commitment and Control: Alternative Strategies for the Organizational Design of Schools," in *Review of Research in Education*, vol. 16, ed. Courtney B. Cazden (Washington, D.C.: American Educational Research Association, 1990) 353-389.

⁵⁶David K. Cohen, "Educational Technology and School Organization" in *Technology in Education: Looking Toward 2020*, eds. Raymond S. Nickerson and Philip P. Zodhiates (Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1988), 255.

of the profession, are seen as symptomatic of a serious, underlying malaise on the part of teachers. Because commitment to the workplace is viewed as a more encompassing type of outcome variable, it has become the criterion of choice in efforts to evaluate educational reform strategies.⁵⁷ It is, according to Rosenholtz and Simpson, quite simply the "hallmark of organizational success" in education.58

mitment to the workplace and perceptions of autonomy and control.59 From a feminist perspective, however, the notion of commitment to the workplace may miss the point. This argument requires some elaboration. Commitment to others, as I argued earlier, is an important aspect of the There is considerable support for the hypothesized link between comteacher's own personal empowerment. Participation in a caring community is considered essential if teachers-the majority of whom are women or low-status white males—are to develop voice and a sense of self. An abstract commitment to workplace appears to add little to this, more concrete commitment to others (i.e., colleagues and students). Therefore, the workplace aspect of the commitment variable is misleading or redundant (i.e., subsumed under the commitment to others category).

ment, teacher career ladders, or schools of choice," Newmann points out, The emphasis on commitment to the workplace as the hallmark of teacher empowerment has not proven terribly helpful to those engaged in restructuring work. For one thing, the workplace rhetoric is far removed from issues of student learning. "Most of the talk about school site manage-"never considers how these mechanisms will teach students to write about literature, to reason about scientific phenomena, or to learn important geographical facts."60 Given this problem, I would like to propose an alternative scheme, based upon feminist research. This scheme builds upon Schon's notion of conversations with settings. It assumes that the subject of these conversations, in the political sphere, relates to the issue of resource allocation. The empowered teacher, from this perspective, is one who is behalf. The key question is not, "What do I need to feel satisfied in my work?" as the teacher commitment literature suggests;⁶¹ the key question is rather, "What resources and support do I need, as a professional, to be able to secure necessary resources and to mobilize them on the students'

⁵⁷Andrew C. Porter, Doug A. Archibald, and Alexander K. Tyree, "Reforming the Curriculum: Will Empowerment Policies Replace Control?" in *The Politics of Curriculum and* Testing, ed. Susan Fuhrman (London: Taylor and Francis, in press).

successful in my work?" This second question relates more to commitment to self and to students than to the workplace.

for women, because of their focus on the needs of others, is how much of a commitment they owe to themselves. 62 This surfaces in the conflict commitments to family and to career. At a deeper level, this conflict reflects a tendency for women to identify "goodness" with self-sacrifice. 63 Gilligan believes that this imbalance between personal needs and the needs of others Gilligan's treatise on commitment and caring from a feminist perspective sheds light on the issue raised above; she argues that a key dilemma that many women experience as they attempt, simultaneously, to honor can change as women learn to extend their moral obligation to self as well as to those for whom they feel responsible:

needs is selfish, from a different perspective it is not only honest but fair. This is the essence of the transitional shift toward a new Although from one point of view, paying attention to one's own concept of goodness, which turns inward in acknowledging the self and in accepting responsibility for choice.64 Striking a balance between one's obligation to self and to others is an important issue in the workplace, particularly for teachers. It manifests itself in the conflict that many teachers report between the need to "make do," on the one hand, and the sense that they should demand more of the system on the other.65 "Making do," in this context, often means fulfilling one's material I need if it means that others might go without. When resources are limited, it's better to bite your tongue." obligations to others—principals, colleagues, parents, and students—with a minimum of bother and fuss. As one teacher put it, "I can't ask for the

of the advantages of being a professional. This is part of the bargain that Supposedly, being able to successfully compete for resources is one professions strike with society—saying, in effect, "Give us the resources, and we will tackle your toughest problems."67 For the most part, this

⁵⁸Susan J. Rosenholtz and Carl Simpson, "Workplace Conditions and the Rise and Fall of Teachers' Commitment," Sociology of Education 63 (1990): 241.

⁵⁹Ibid., 241-257.

⁶⁾Fred Newmann, "Linking Restructuring to Authentic Student Achievement," Pbi Delta Kappan (in press).

⁶¹Rosenholtz and Simpson, "Workplace Conditions," 241–257.

⁶² Carol Gilligan, In a Different Voice: Psychological Theory and Women's Development (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1982).

⁶³Ibid., 149.

⁶⁴Ibid., 85.

Proceedings of the 13th Annual Meeting of the North American Chapter of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education, eds. R. Underhill and Catherine Brown (Blacksburg, Va.: Virginia Polytechnical and State University, in press). 65 Pamela Schram, Richard S. Prawat, Julie Ricks, Karen Sands, Cassandra Collar, and Pamela Seales, "Teacher Empowerment in Mathematics: Negotiating Multiple Agendas," in

⁶⁶Interview, March 1990.

⁶⁷Schon, Educating the Reflective Practitioner.

bargain has been honored. Teaching may be the lone exception. As the Carnegie Forum points out,

programs for our evening entertainment and engineer our roads, than vices to those who design the appliances we use, make television Americans care more about providing adequate support staff and serthose who educate our children.68 A number of educational reformers have singled out the lack of adequate resources as a major source of frustration and discouragement on the part of teachers.69

There is little that teachers, as individuals, can do to challenge the power arrangements that deny them access to needed resources. When they speak as members of a profession, however, their demands have more credibility and are more apt to be heeded by policymakers. This, at least, is one tant condition must be added to Gilligan's concept: A commitment to self of the arguments made by those seeking to empower teachers politically. This argument complicates the situation because it suggests that an imporis empowering for teachers only when it takes the form of a commitment to self as professional.

passing commitment to self as professional. As a number of people have It helps the teacher resolve the conflict in commitment talked about by Gilligan (i.e., commitments to self and others). In a sense, these two moral obligations come together when the teacher embraces the more encompointed out, being a professional means that one is part of a community ment, whether to self or colleagues, is the extent to which it advances the Adding this condition, however, simplifies the situation in another way. In the helping professions, in particular, the ultimate test of any commitinterests of the clients one serves.70 This is an important point to keep and is committed to carrying out the role obligations of that community. in mind. Taking issue with the emphasis on personal freedom and self-"Confounding professional development with a transformation that makes teachers more like people who would not want to be classroom teachers realization in the literature on political empowerment, Buchmann writes, is cockeyed, and not productive of good policy."71

⁶⁸Task Force on Teaching as a Profession, A Nation Prepared: Teachers for the 21st Century (New York: Carnegie Forum on Education and the Economy, 1986), 41.

⁶⁹Milbrey W. McLaughlin and Sylvia M. Yee, "School as a Place to Have a Career," in Building a Professional Culture in Schools, ed. Ann Lieberman (New York: Teachers College Press, 1988), 23-44. "Resources" are not all physical in nature; having adequate time for planning, reflection, and sharing concerns with colleagues could be included under this rubric. In this article, this set of factors is what is meant by "support."

⁷⁰Margaret Buchmann, "Beyond the Lonely, Choosing Will: Professional Development in Teacher Thinking," Teachers College Record 91 (1990): 481-508.

71 Ibid., 505

Teacher Empowerment

interpreted it here, is to help teachers develop a commitment to self as a professional. This set of "appreciations," to use Schon's term, leads to a The purpose of the political approach to empowerment, then, as I have specific set of conversations with settings—those in which teachers become more politically active in order to secure the resources and support necessary to meet the needs of the students they serve.

Summing Up

In the introduction to this article, I argued that the distinctions among the different approaches to teacher empowerment are not hard and fast. Some writers emphasize this fact. Gearhart, for example believes that it is desirable for teachers to switch back and forth between what I have termed epistemological and political conversations with self;72 these two types of discourse may complement each other:

What the dialectical process lacks, feminism seems to have in abundance: feelings, connections with personal experience, the grounding of ideas in reality, and a regard for unconscious motives and processes. If we can use these gifts and strengthen our analytical side, we can begin communicating more effectively. 73 Others are less optimistic about the feasibility of this sort of "codeswitching." Ellsworth, for one, thinks that it is counterproductive for women and people of color to "subject themselves" to the logic of rationalism; this mode of discourse has been used to exclude and silence women and minorities: "Rational argument has operated in ways that set up as its opposite an irrational Other, which has been understood historically as the province of women and other exotic Others."74 Fortunately, Ellsworth writes, the academy is becoming more receptive to nonrational, "narrative" ways of knowing, thanks to the influence of poststructuralist theory, which recognizes the legitimacy of alternative modes of discourse.7

In a simliar fashion, one notes other writers who regard the different find it impossible to separate particular agendas. 76 Thus, he argues that the approaches to empowerment as more or less compatible. Some, like Elliott,

²Sally M. Gearhart, "If the Mortarboard Fits...Radical Feminism in Academia," in Learning Our Way: Essays in Feminist Education, eds. Charlotte Bunch and Sandra Pollack (Trumansburg, N.Y.: Crossing, 1983), 2-18.

⁷⁴Elizabeth Ellsworth, "Why Doesn't This Feel Empowering? Working Through the Repressive Myths of Critical Pedagogy," *Harvard Educational Review* 59 (1989): 301.

⁷⁶John Elliott "Teachers as Researchers: Implications for Supervision and Teacher Education" (Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans, April, 1988).

personal and political aspects of empowerment are one and the same:

Self-awareness and awareness of the institutional context of one's work as a teacher are not developed by separate cognitive processes: reflexive and objective analysis. Reflexive practice necessarily implies both self-critique and institutional critique. One cannot have one without the other.77

Laird seconds this notion, commenting that feminists regard as mutually enlightening efforts aimed at self-definition and "insubordination." Gitlin supports a middle ground position; he believes that the development of voice is a necessary but not sufficient condition for taking political action. Teachers need to fight for the right to tell their own educational stories within the school context, but they must also work to change structures within the school so that that right makes a long-term difference: "The development of voice requires that school structures be altered to encourage political action and protest.80

Liston and Zeichner, although less inclined than Elliot to merge dimensions, also see an intimate connection between different empowerment agendas: the analytic and conceptual (or theoretical).⁸¹ They point out that teachers who operate from different theoretical perspectives are apt to respond in different ways to the same knowledge claim. They use as an example the reasonable assertion that black children will be handicapped if brings an inquiry frame of mind to this issue, wanting to examine the research basis for such a claim. This process, however, does not occur in a theoretical vacuum. According to Liston and Zeichner, teachers may employ distinctly different conceptual "lenses" when thinking about issues of cultural diversity.⁸³ For example, some may regard nonstandard English spective to bear, viewing nonstandard dialects as distinct but intellectually equivalent modes of expression.

Other theorists have suggested similar interactions among different approaches to empowerment. McDonald, for one, is quite explicit in this re-

Teacher Empowerment

gard in his article describing the history of a teacher empowerment project in which he and several other high school teachers were involved.⁸⁴ As McDonald explains, the project began as an informal follow-up to a threeday conference on school improvement sponsored by a local school organization: "We decided to keep our conference going indefinitely, to keep talking with each other in order to support each other."⁸⁵ Interestingly, however, different empowerment agendas began to emerge as the group evolved over the course of the year. These agendas resemble those described in different cells of Table 1. Thus, McDonald notes three important phases in the group's development. The first two phases map nicely onto the political empowerment agendas depicted in Table 1.

At first, McDonald notes, teachers in the group appeared to be groping to find a voice, "a language in which to talk with each other." This agenda, which occupied a fair amount of time early in the group's history, gradually evolved into an agenda aimed more at finding one's *professional* voice.

In its second phase of development, the Secondary Study Group cultivated the teacher's voice not simply to break the long silence and thus gain support through collegiality, but also to gain some say in policy. The group adopted a political aim to set beside its psychological one—to transform the teacher's role from that of passive recipient of policy made to active participant in policy making.⁸⁷

In this second, political phase, teachers began to see their earlier silence as reflecting more than isolation from colleagues and low status vis-a-vis other academics. They began to see it as a protective response to their own lack of autonomy and their subordination.

The third phase in the group's development involved a shift in focus from the political to the epistemological arena. As McDonald explains, this shift involved the implementation of yet another strategy to deal with an important aspect of teacher empowerment: the need to frame one's work to bring order and perspective to what is otherwise a complex and uncertain enterprise. ⁸⁸ The approach McDonald and his colleagues used in the third phase is identical to that employed by Smyth: Each of the teachers told stories—"reflective anecdotes"—from their teaching practice. ⁸⁹

⁷⁷Ibid., 50.

⁷⁸Susan Laird, "Reforming 'Women's True Profession': A Case for 'Feminist Pedagogy' in Teacher Education," *Harvard Educational Review* 58 (1988): 449-463.

⁷⁹Andrew D. Gitlin, "Educative Research, Voice, and School Change," Harvard Educa-tional Review 60 (1990): 443-466.

⁸⁰Ibid., 460.

⁸¹Liston and Zeichner, "Reflective Teaching, 240.

⁸² Ibid., 241-242.

⁸³¹bid., 242.

⁸¹Joseph McDonald, "Raising the Teachers' Voice and the Ironic Role of Theory," Harvard Educational Review 56 (1986): 355-378.

⁸⁵lbid., 358.

¹⁶Ibid., 358.

⁸Ibid., 359.

⁸⁸¹bid., 360.

⁸⁷John Smyth, "A Critical Pedagogy of Classroom Practice," *Journal of Curriculum In-quiry* 21 (1989): 483-502.

McDonald emphasizes that the group, from the very beginning, found these examples of teaching theoretically interesting. In fact, he adds, the urge to theorize grew stronger as the experiment continued. Near the end of his article, McDonald neatly characterizes the activity engaged in by the study group during the third phase of its existence. The intent, he writes, was "to collectivize and analyze experience in the light of theory." The test of any theory, in this context, is its explanatory and transformative value, that is, the extent to which it helps teachers make sense out of particular situations and leads to changes in the ways they understand or experience practice."

The above discussion illustrates how the framework presented in Table of collaborative work with teachers. In a similar way, this framework has I can be used to characterize the changing agendas that emerge in the course tors interact in a long-term project focusing on mathematics teaching and analysis derived from the use of this framework are preliminary, the analysis These insights reinforce the notion that empowerment agendas evolve slowly over time as participants work to construct a shared understanding of proven useful in examining changes in the way teachers and teacher educalearning at the elementary school level. 92 Although results of the discourse has yielded insights that are empowering for the would-be "empowerers." group purposes or goals. We, the teacher educators who participated in the project, have had to revise our belief that the project's most important purpose is epistemological in nature—that the project is primarily a mechanism for getting teachers to examine constructivist views of teaching and tinues to be an important goal, it is not the sole agenda of the group. In learning in the context of elementary school mathematics. While this conmany of the weekly meetings, it has taken a backscat to other, more important concerns, especially those related to the allocation of resources and people bring different agendas to the collaborative process is important if powering relationship holds; it also takes a special sort of environment. As support. This is as it should be. Recognizing and legitimating the fact that one is to move forward with that process. The process itself is messy and time-consuming. It takes time for pcople to recognize the value that an emnectedness' that are developed in situations of equality, caring, and mutual Hogan so aptly puts it, "Empowering relationships involve feelings of 'conourpose and intention."93

In the final analysis, it is likely that the different empowerment strategies discussed above all contribute to the teacher's ability to engage in com-

⁹⁰McDonald, "Raising the Teacher's Voice," 376.

757

plex, ongoing conversations about education. Each of the questions listed in Table 1 could surface in multiple and interacting ways when teachers participate in discourse or dialogue. In other words, these issues—what one can contribute to the conversation, how one might sort out conflicting claims or assertions, what one ought to focus on during the discussion, and how one might more effectively act based on the knowledge and insight thus gained—may all be part of a piece. This is not to say that it is wrong for educators to stress one aspect of empowerment over others. We need to be reminded that each is important in its own right, and that is what advocates of different points of view do. However, we also need to better understand how the different aspects of empowerment work together to facilitate improvements in the lives of teachers and the students they serve. To accomplish this goal, we need more thoughtful case studies of collaborative work with teachers.

⁹¹ Symth, "A Critical Pedagogy."

⁹²Schram et al., "Teacher Empowerment."

⁹⁹P. Hogan, A Community of Teacher Researchers: A Story of Empowerment and Voice (University of Calgary, unpublished manuscript, 1983).